

HISTORIC RESOURCE COMMISSION  
MEETING MINUTES

Regular Meeting

August 3, 2005

The regular meeting of the Coronado Historic Resource Commission was called to order at 3 p.m., Wednesday, July 6, 2005, at the Coronado Police Department Emergency Operations Center, 700 Orange Avenue, Coronado, California, by Chairperson Keith.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Commissioners Draper, Keith, MacCartee, and Wilson

MEMBERS ABSENT: Commissioner Herron

STAFF PRESENT: Ann McCaull, Associate Planner  
Martha Alvarez, Recording Secretary

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of July 6, 2005, were approved as submitted.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Ms. McCaull announced that the Commission's next meeting would be held on Wednesday, August 17, 2005, at 2 p.m. (Ms. McCaull meant at 1 p.m.), instead of the normal 3 p.m. time because of the amount of items on the agenda and the need to vacate the meeting room at an earlier time.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS

There were no members of the public wishing to speak at this time.

Commissioner MacCartee reported that she spoke to Vickie Raun at the Eagle Journal who indicated that there may be a possibility of publishing on a monthly basis a list of the designated resources provided by the Commission. Ms. MacCartee said she would provide the list to Ms. Raun at the end of August.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

**NOI 10-05** **Rauber, Michael:** – Consideration of Notice of Intent to demolish the single family residence addressed as 436 H Avenue and located in the R-1B (Single Family) Residential Zone.

Ms. McCaull introduced the staff report as outlined in the agenda. This item was continued from the July 6, 2005 meeting. There was some discussion by the Commission that the owner and her authorized representative, Michael Rauber, were not present at the last meeting. The Commission did not take action at that time. Maureen Carr is the current property owner. The property is a 50' wide by 140' deep lot totaling 7,000 square feet and contains a single family residence with a detached garage. There is currently an ordinance in place which states that if a home is 75 years or older and is proposed to be demolished, a Notice of Intent to Demolish permit process must be

followed. The purpose of the hearing is for the Commission to evaluate the home that is to be demolished and make a determination whether or not the home to be demolished meets the criteria to be designated as an historic resource. The home was constructed in 1929 so it is subject to the demolition permit review process. The owner at the time was J. E. Hart and the contractor was identified as the Denndstedt Company. No other permits have been issued for this property in terms of significant alterations since its original construction. It appears the Hart family owned the property until 1941, and the Carr family has owned the property since the early 1970's. The dwelling has a Mission Revival architectural style with a stucco exterior, arched front windows, flat roof, and tiled front entry porch. The applicant indicated that the structure was in poor condition. In order to find a home an historic resource, there is certain criteria that needs to be met. Staff reviewed the permit history, the architectural record and there was no indication that the house met the criteria to be designated as an historic resource. Staff is recommending approval of the Resolution which finds the home does not meet the criteria to be designated as a Coronado historic resource, which would allow for the further demolition of the residence. Two conditions are attached to the Resolution: one notifying the Coronado Historical Association (CHA) prior to the demolition of the home, giving them the opportunity to document the structure in terms of its historical significance. Also, there is a program called "Keep it in Coronado," where the City works with the CHA to allow them to salvage any material in the home before being demolished, to be used for future restoration of homes within the community.

Chairperson Keith read a letter into the record. It was signed by Colleen Carr, Maureen Carr, Terrence Carr, Jr. and Kathleen Carr and dated July 28, 2005. It read: "Dear Susan Keith, in regard to the letter by Sharon Sherman published in this week's Coronado Journal, we believe it would be an unjust act for us to not be able to demolish the structures at 436 H Avenue. In 1925, our father, Terrence Carr, was born in Coronado. He met the love of his life at college and in the 50's returned to Coronado to buy a home with his new bride. The property at 436 H Avenue is the legacy of our parents. They purchased it with full intention for its value to be split between their four children upon their passing. We have had to say goodbye to our parents and have no desire to remodel the property. Instead we wish to uphold the desire and intentions of both our parents and sell the property for the most economical value to be split between the children."

Peter Ward, 1260 High Bluff Drive, San Diego, attorney representing Michael Rauber. Mr. Ward stated that Mr. Rauber is under contract by the property owner. Mr. Ward did not attend the last hearing but did read the minutes and has seen the public comments. He stated he does not have the solution to balance all of the competing interests of managing growth in Coronado and respecting the wishes of people to build new houses versus preserving older homes and preserving the character of Coronado. However, that is not the issue before the Commission. He is aware that in order to find that a building is an historical structure, two of five specific criteria must be met. In reviewing the list, he agreed on the recommendations and is consistent with his research about the given criteria. The building, however, does not meet the criteria. He stated it does not meet Item E (meeting the State program of landmarks and points of historical interest); it does not meet item D (representative of notable work of a builder, designer, etc.); it does not meet Item C (few remaining examples in the city possessing distinctive characteristics of an architectural style, etc.) – he presented photographs of similar buildings on the same block and within the city; it does not meet Item B (identified with a person(s) or event(s) significant in local, state or national history); it does not meet Item A (reflects special elements of the City's military, cultural...history). It only meets the age requirement in that it is 76 years old. In reviewing the five criteria, it does not satisfy any of the five. He felt one should not underestimate the financial significance of the decision to deem the building an historic resource.

It would have the functional effect of down-zoning the property, limiting its use and substantially decreasing its value to the current owner, Ms. Carr, and the future owner, Mr. Rauber. It would be unfair to place the entire burden on the single owners and it is not appropriate under the circumstances. He urged that the Commission adopt the Resolution as presented.

### PUBLIC COMMENT

Dorothy Howard, 420 H Avenue, was not in support of the demolition. She felt the house was salvageable and urged the Commission to work with the City Council to end this type of development.

Sharon Sherman, 657 Pomona, asked the Commission to deny issuance of the permit. She felt the Commission did not have to find in favor of issuing the demolition permit. The home falls within part of the general fabric of the community. She suggested preserving the community, not the structure.

Story Vogel, 350 D Avenue, was not in support of the demolition. He said he did not want to interfere with the Carr's right to sell their home, but felt that there were other options rather than demolishing the home.

Sharon Scharff, 1310 4<sup>th</sup> Street, was not in support of the demolition.

Daphne Brown, 326 1<sup>st</sup> Street, was not in support of the demolition.

Jan Clark, 344 A Avenue, was not in support of the demolition.

Ann Patterson, 941 G Avenue, was not in support of the demolition. She inquired if the owner of the development would consider not splitting the lot.

### COMMISSION DISCUSSION

Commissioner Draper said that Chairperson Keith and she have been on the HRC since its inception and wanted to add that the concerns of the neighborhood should always be taken into consideration. She disagreed with attorney Ward in that she felt the house does exemplify the city's special elements of social, economic, aesthetic, architectural history, and is one of the few remaining examples of the city possessing distinctive characteristics of an architectural style which has not been substantially altered. She felt it met criteria A and C.

Commissioner MacCartee addressed attorney Ward and said that she was not in agreement with his point of view in that there were not many other homes like the one being discussed. She stated the home does reflect the social life of what Coronado once was. It also gives an example of architectural style that is fast deteriorating. She did not support the demolition of this home.

Chairperson Keith thanked the audience and speakers today. She said although one may have strong feelings about the issues, one must also abide by the law. She said they must go through the criteria. The home meets the age requirement. She felt it does exemplify an architectural and social history because it does represent the majority of homes in Coronado, the real residence of this town. But that is the only criteria that it met, therefore it does not meet the two requirements needed to deem it an

historic resource.

Ms. McCaull reminded the Commission that the affirmative vote of three Commission members is needed in order to take action today. Otherwise, the item would be continued to the next meeting.

#### COMMISSION ACTION

CHAIRPERSON KEITH MADE A MOTION THAT THE HISTORIC RESOURCE COMMISSION MAKE A DETERMINATION THAT THE STRUCTURE ADDRESSED AS 436 H AVENUE (NOI 10-05) DOES NOT MEET THE CRITERIA TO BE DESIGNATED A HISTORIC RESOURCE, WITH THE ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION STATING THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

THE PROPERTY DOES MEET THE 75-YEAR AGE REQUIREMENT;

A. IT DOES MEET THE ARCHITECTURAL AND SOCIAL HISTORY;

B. IT IS NOT IDENTIFIED WITH A PERSON(S) OR AN EVENT(S) SIGNIFICANT IN LOCAL, STATE, OR NATIONAL HISTORY;

C. IT IS NOT ONE OF THE FEW REMAINING EXAMPLES IN THE CITY POSSESSING DISTINCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ARCHITECTURAL STYLE, AND IS NOT VALUABLE FOR THE STUDY OF A TYPE, PERIOD, OR METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION AND HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIALLY ALTERED;

D. IT IS NOT REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NOTABLE WORK OF A BUILDER, DESIGNER, ARCHITECT, ARTISAN OR LANDSCAPE PROFESSIONAL.

IN ADDITION, THE OWNER MUST NOTIFY THE CORONADO HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION (CHA) AT LEAST 10 DAYS PRIOR TO DEMOLITION TO PROVIDE CHA WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO SALVAGE HISTORIC BUILDING MATERIALS FOR THEIR "KEEP IT IN CORONADO" RE-USE PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER WILSON SECONDED THE MOTION.

AYES: Commissioners Keith, MacCartee, and Wilson.

NAYS: Commissioner Draper.

ABSENT: Commissioner Herron.

ABSTAIN: None.

The motion passed 3-1.

There is a 10-day appeal period.

**HR 14-05** City of Coronado: Request for Historic Designation of the Coronado Public Library's "Spreckels Building" addressed as 640 Orange Avenue and located in the C-U (Civic Use) Zone.

Ms. McCaull introduced the staff report as outlined in the agenda. On behalf of the Coronado Public

Library, the director of Library Services, Christian Esquevin has submitted a request for historic designation of the original Spreckels public library. Since this is a public structure, the Commission's action is not final, the recommendation must be forwarded to the City Council. The original Spreckels building was designed by the noted architect Harrison Albright, and donated to the City by John Spreckels in 1909. The original building was approximately 1,700 square feet and Harrison Albright was a very noted architect in the southern California region, building many structures in the downtown area, several which were commissioned by Mr. Spreckels. She asked that Mr. Esquevin provide additional details.

Ms. Christian Esquevin, Director of Library Services, said the building has been for almost 100 years part of the cultural, social, governmental, and educational fabric in the city of Coronado and should be recognized as an historic designation. The Library Board of Trustees requests that the building be recognized and preserved as an historic resource in the City of Coronado.

#### PUBLIC COMMENT

Sharon Sherman, president of Friends of the Library, urged the Commission to grant the request. They were so pleased with the design and getting the original Spreckels building back that they made a presentation to the City Council and a \$50,000 donation specifically earmarked for this project.

#### COMMISSION DISCUSSION

Commissioner Keith stated that for the record it be shown that she also serves as a member of the Board of the Trustees on the Library. She stated that there was no conflict and would not be stepping down from the dais.

Commissioner Draper reminded the Commission that approval by the Commission would be passed on to the City Council because it is a public building and must be approved by the City Council.

#### COMMISSION ACTION

COMMISSIONER DRAPER MADE A MOTION THAT THE HISTORIC RESOURCE COMMISSION WOULD RECOMMEND TO THE CITY COUNCIL THAT HR 14-05 CITY OF CORONADO SPRECKELS LIBRARY SHALL BE DESIGNATED AS A "CORONADO HISTORIC RESOURCE" BECAUSE IT IS AT LEAST 75 YEARS OF AGE AND BECAUSE IT MEETS THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

- A. IT DOES EXEMPLIFY SPECIAL ELEMENTS OF THE CITY'S CULTURAL, SOCIAL, POLITICAL, ETHNIC, AESTHETIC, AND ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY;
- B. IT IS IDENTIFIED WITH MANY SIGNIFICANT PERSONS INCLUDING JOHN SPRECKELS, AND NOTED ARCHITECT HARRISON ALBRIGHT;
- C. IT IS THE ONLY REMAINING EXAMPLE OF A LIBRARY IN CORONADO;
- D. IT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NOTABLE WORK OF A BUILDER.

COMMISSIONER MACCARTEE SECONDED THE MOTION.

AYES: Commissioners Keith, MacCartee, Draper, and Wilson.  
NAYS: None.  
ABSENT: Commissioner Herron.  
ABSTAIN: None.

The motion passed 4-0.

Chairperson Keith stated that the recommendation would be passed on to the City Council.

**HR 15-05** **Pimpo, David and Margaret:** Request for Historic Designation of the property addressed as 738 B Avenue and located in the R-1A (E) (Single Family) Residential Zone.

Ms. McCaull introduced the staff report as outlined in the agenda. David and Margaret Pimpo are the current owners of the property at 738 B Avenue. This property is 40' wide and 140' deep. The site contains a single family dwelling and a detached accessory building. The owners would like to have the residence designated in order to preserve the neighborhood along with the bungalows within the community. The owners are planning an addition in the future and if the property is designated, that would come back before the Commission for approval. It is a proposed one story addition with a garage and guest quarters up above. The home was built in 1911 and it is 94 years old. F.C. Winchester was identified as property owner. Based upon a previous application submitted by Chris Ackerman at 765 C Avenue, records indicate that Mr. Winchester built about 18 homes within the 700 block of B and C Avenue. Mr. Winchester also built several homes within the community including the first masonry building in Coronado, the Winchester building. Records indicate a one room addition was completed to the dwelling in 1916, and the contractor was S.D. Chapin. Mr. Chapin completed construction of over 200 homes within the community. The Corrin family owned the home in the 20's and 30's and up to the 60's. No further information was found on the Corrin family. The Historic Resource Inventory completed in the 1980's indicated a historical value rating of 3, indicating the home was in fairly good physical condition, minor modifications were made to the original exterior appearance, and was representative of a recognized style of architecture. The front patio or porch seems to be enclosed. The owners wish to restore the original building. Ms. McCaull introduced Mrs. Florence Evelyn Meadows, who was present to represent her daughter, Ms. Margaret Pimpo.

Florence Evelyn Meadows, representing Margaret Pimpo and David Pimpo, stated that the application and report submitted by the applicants was accurate and stated that the owner was not going to change the front of the house.

Commissioner Draper stated that on the application it stated Mr. F.C. Winchester as the architect, when in fact she understood him to be the owner. Mr. Winchester was also a contractor and a realtor and very famous in Coronado.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

There were no members of the public wishing to speak at this time.

### COMMISSION DISCUSSION

Chairperson Keith stated that it appeared the application met all the needed criteria to designate the home historic.

### COMMISSION ACTION

COMMISSIONER KEITH MADE A MOTION THAT THE HISTORIC RESOURCE COMMISSION WOULD CONSIDER 738 B AVENUE TO BE A HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT PROPERTY AND WOULD APPROVE A REQUEST FOR HISTORIC DESIGNATION IF REQUESTED, WITH THE ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION STATING THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

- A. IT DOES EXEMPLIFY OR REFLECT SPECIAL ELEMENTS OF THE CITY'S MILITARY, CULTURAL, SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, POLITICAL, AESTHETIC, AND ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY;
- B. IT IS IDENTIFIED WITH PERSONS SIGNIFICANT IN LOCAL, STATE, OR NATIONAL HISTORY;
- C. IT IS ONE OF THE FEW REMAINING EXAMPLES IN THE CITY POSSESSING DISTINCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ARCHITECTURAL STYLE, AND IS VALUABLE FOR THE STUDY OF A TYPE, PERIOD, OR METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION AND HAS NOT BEEN SUBSTANTIALLY ALTERED;
- D. IT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NOTABLE WORK OF A BUILDER, DESIGNER, ARCHITECT, ARTISAN OR LANDSCAPE PROFESSIONAL.

COMMISSIONER DRAPER SECONDED THE MOTION.

AYES: Commissioners Keith, MacCartee, Draper, and Wilson.  
NAYS: None.  
ABSENT: Commissioner Herron.  
ABSTAIN: None.

The motion passed 4-0.

Commissioner MacCartee added that the packets included an outline of the Winchester bungalows that still exist on B and C Avenue. There are fifteen and only one has been demolished.

### MISCELLANEOUS

None.

### DISCUSSION ITEMS

**Discussion regarding establishment of a program to encourage the retention and restoration of Coronado Cottages.**

Chairperson Keith said that the Commission has been discussing establishing a program / committee to help encourage retention and restoration of the smaller cottages within the community. The

committee would be called the Coronado Cottage Conservancy. Attorney Sharon Sherman, presently the head of the Friends of the Library, a nonprofit organization, has been assisting in developing a mission statement and necessities for the organization. Ms. Keith suggested said that on the mission statement, under the "HRC staff involvement," she would like to add "city staff involvement," for clarification purposes. She said that she would like to place an umbrella over the organization and send it forth to what will become the conservancy made up of 10-12 persons. They will need to refine this.

Ms. Sherman stated she wanted to come up with a process that is comfortable for the Commission.

Commissioner Draper congratulated Ms. Sherman on a job well done on the mission statement. She especially liked the paragraph that read: "Do you want to interface with the community?" She also asked about the criteria for the cottage, indicating that square footage is not a criteria to become a designated historic resource.

Ms. Sherman said that the Conservancy could assist the homeowner. When someone brings a designation on a voluntary basis, one is easier with the criteria.

Ms. Draper clarified that the person would first purchase the property. She read: "They will purchase a small home in danger of a demolition, secure HRC designation, and then resell it." It cannot be brought to HRC.

Ms. Sherman clarified that there are two arms to this, one is the endowment where a home would be purchased. The item could be brought to HRC because the 501(c)3 Corporation would be the owner and the applicant. On the other side, HRC wants to assist small bungalow owners and that would be another arm of what was being done. However, where an applicant does not want to designate their home as historic, the question is whether or not the Commission is comfortable putting pressure on the applicant to at least consult with the Conservancy, continue the hearing and then return.

Ms. McCaull asked for clarification on whether an applicant should be referred to the Conservancy if the applicant wished to pursue an application for Notice of Intent to Demolish.

Chairperson Keith responded that if someone is coming in for a request for a demolition permit, the Commission may continue the item and suggest that the applicant speak with the Conservancy about what the Conservancy may offer the applicant to change their mind about demolition.

Ms. Sherman agreed, and said that it would be good to put some pressure on the developer to at least ask the developer to consider options and alternatives versus demolition.

Ms. Draper stated that when the list is submitted of all the homes that need to go through the demolition process, the criteria could be modified for the homes that are on the list and then address the issue.

Ms. Sherman said that the intent was to not change the list. Some of the homes on the list would not be designated on a voluntary basis. She asked the Commission if it is on the list, is it appropriate to ask HRC when the permit cannot be denied, and should the matter be continued to give the developer time to consider other alternatives to demolition.

Chairperson Keith suggested that could be done when the application is submitted to staff for demolition, although that may mean that an opportunity wouldn't be given to the Conservancy to speak with the applicant.

Ms. McCaull stated that one has to tie the conditions or continuance based upon something. The purpose of the public hearing would be to consider alternatives. During that process is when the applicant would be told about the Conservancy and its benefits.

Ms. Sherman than asked if the point was that the Conservancy could not help on an official basis until the historic designation was made.

Ms. McCaull stated that she was uncomfortable with the manner it was proposed, the Commission delaying action on an item and requiring someone to see a Conservancy. What it appears the Commission would be saying is that it is historic.

Chairperson Keith stated that the Conservancy should be notified when the application first comes in. Basically, the Conservancy begins working with the applicant and offering alternatives.

Ms. Sherman asked if there would not be an imprimatur of HRC on any of this; it would be totally separate from HRC. By the time it reaches HRC, the applicant either agrees or doesn't, and HRC returns to their basic criteria.

Chairperson Keith agreed.

Ms. MacCartee expressed concern that the Conservancy needs the Commission's imprimatur .

Chairperson Keith stated that everyone has been struggling trying to figure out a way, working within the laws of the City of Coronado to try to sway the owner's minds to keep the house versus tearing it down.

Ms. Sherman asked if the Conservancy should try to have relaxed criteria for the smaller homes, and if there was any room for changing criteria.

Chairperson Keith stated that the Commission could not change the criteria.

Ms. Sherman asked if efforts should be put into changing the Commission's criteria while having services offered to homeowners as well, or expanding criteria for small homes.

Sharon Scharff, 1310 4<sup>th</sup> Street, said there are two communities in the United States that she is familiar with because she has visited both. One of the communities is Haddonfield, New Jersey and the other one is Park City, Utah. In Park City, the homes have been protected in the interior community. In Haddonfield, its entire community is designated historic. She felt that Coronado would benefit from these types of programs.

Chairperson Keith said that this idea originated in Savannah, Georgia and the Savannah Foundation. What they do is that they buy a house and then they restore it, designate it historic and sell it. That was the original idea for Coronado. However, in Savannah, the homes cost about \$15,000 and the homes in Coronado cost about 1.5 million and up.

Mary Joralmon, 1116 5<sup>th</sup> Street, described herself as an old preservationist. She said that the Commission's ideas as well as Ms. Sherman's ideas were wonderful. She said she was against lot splits and asked if anything could be done to amend the lot split.

Chairperson Keith said that the Conservancy was still in the forming stage. She said they were discussing it being a 501(c)3 that would stand on its own. A member of the HRC would be present so there would be dialogue between the HRC and the Conservancy, however, the Conservancy would be its own separate entity.

Ms. Sherman stated that the Conservancy's time would be better spent attempting to get the criteria modified for the smaller structures within the neighborhood.

Ms. McCaull suggested that recommendations could be made to our existing ordinance. It appeared that the existing criteria needed to be modified. That could be a goal of the HRC and or its subcommittee.

Ms. Sherman asked that if she were to bring a request from the Conservancy to consider modification of the historic criteria where a bungalow is at issue, then what would happen.

Ms. McCaull stated that the HRC would receive the request, discuss it as an agenda item, make a decision whether to make a recommendation to Council, and a report would go from HRC to City Council indicating they received the letter from the Conservancy. The HRC would be made aware that the ordinance needs to be amended, and the request to work with the Conservancy to amend the ordinance would begin.

Ms. Keith stated she wanted the Conservancy to be involved at an early enough stage so that the owners, not the developers, would know what the options were.

Ms. Sherman suggested that may come from an educational component of the Conservancy working with the HRC and that workshops on historic issues need to be available to the homeowners.

Commissioner Draper commented that with the smaller houses, she would like to consider the neighborhood and the criteria for HRC should include the applicant's affect on the neighborhood. If the HRC had that criteria to work with, maybe the outcome of the decision would be different.

Ms. Sherman stated she would submit to Ms. McCaull a request for modification of the historic designation criteria for purposes of the demolition permit as it relates to small homes. It could then be taken up at the next HRC meeting.

Commissioner MacCartee suggested that when the proposed changes to the criteria were presented to the City Council, information on the Conservancy and the advantages and benefits it offered could also be presented, therefore making Council more amenable to changing the criteria.

Ms. Sherman said it would be a good idea to take out the interface between HRC and the Conservancy at this time. If the criteria could be changed, it would help. It would empower the HRC to deny a demolition permit.

Chairperson Keith said that a group of persons must form the Conservancy. It is not something that HRC is forming. It is an idea that came out of discussions and the Conservancy must operate on its own. She said that the purpose of the mission statement is to form a guideline for the Conservancy. Once a meeting is held, interested parties are invited to attend. Those wishing to serve on the Board of Directors would be chosen, and communication would be kept open between the Conservancy the HRC.

Ms. Sherman agreed and stated that the Conservancy must disengage from HRC. It is important to know when our participation should come in.

Chairperson Keith said it is important to be involved from the beginning. When a person goes down to City Hall requesting to tear down a bungalow, that is when the Conservancy should step in and offer that person options.

Ms. McCaull stated her concern was that the Conservancy was not going to be at the counter. She said that city staff makes the initial contact with anyone who wishes to add on to their home. It would require a lot of staff time to relay to persons information about the Conservancy.

Commissioner Draper stated that staff needs a way to just refer.

Ms. Sherman suggested handing out a very informative packet and saying to the person that the information is from a private corporation.

Ms. McCaull stated that the City of Coronado tries to provide a high level quality of service. It is better to offer persons one location to obtain all the information. Persons do not want to go to many different locations.

Chairperson Keith requested from the Commissioners names of persons interested in serving on the Conservancy. She asked that these names be given to Ms. Sherman.

The meeting was continued to the Coronado Police Department Community Room, at 4:45 p.m.

All members were present.

#### **Discussion regarding the formulation of a Demolition Review List (HR 14-04).**

The Commission reviewed the list of potential properties and made a determination as to which properties should be kept, reviewed further, or eliminated from the list.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:45 p.m.

---

Tony A Peña  
Director of Community Development