

**MINUTES OF A  
REGULAR MEETING OF THE  
CITY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF CORONADO  
Coronado City Hall  
1825 Strand Way  
Coronado, CA 92118  
Tuesday, February 19, 2008**

Mayor Smisek called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

**1. ROLL CALL:**

**Present:** Councilmembers Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Mayor Smisek

**Absent:** None

**Also Present:** City Manager Mark Ochenduszko  
City Attorney Morgan Foley  
City Clerk Linda Hascup

**2. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.** Floyd Ross provided the invocation and Mayor Smisek led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**3. MINUTES:** Approval of the minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 5, 2008, were approved as submitted. The reading of the minutes in their entirety was unanimously waived.

**MSUC** (Tanaka/Downey moved that the City Council approve minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 5, 2008, a copy having been provided Council prior to the meeting, as submitted.

**AYES:** Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek  
**NAYS:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

**4. CEREMONIAL PRESENTATIONS:** None.

**5. CONSENT CALENDAR:** The City Council approved, adopted and/or accepted as one item of business Consent Agenda Items 5a through 5f with the addition of Item 10b.

Councilmember Ovrom asked, regarding Item 5f, if there was an update scheduled on possible effect to the City's budget due to the State's financial crisis.

City Manager Mark Ochendusko responded by saying that the State has made mid-year adjustments that do not impact cities at this point. Staff is watching very carefully for what might happen in the coming year and as soon as that is known, that will be incorporated into a City Council discussion.

Councilmember Downey clarified an aspect of item 10B that the City is installing a four foot windscreen at the tennis center, not because the City ever intended to do full windscreens, but rather because that is the agreement that has been reached. The neighbors were involved in the discussion and they have agreed that this is not a view blocking issue.

**MSUC (Downey/Monroe) moved that the City Council the Consent Calendar Items 5a through 5f with the addition of Item 10b - Approval of the Parks and Recreation Commission Recommendation to Install Four-Foot Windscreens on the Southeast Side of Courts 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 at the Coronado Tennis Center.**

**AYES: Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek**  
**NAYS: None**  
**ABSENT: None**

**5a. Approval of Reading by Title and Waiver of Reading in Full of Ordinances on this Agenda.** The City Council waived the reading of the full text and approved the reading of the title only.

**5b. Approval of Warrants.** The City Council ratified payment of warrants Nos. 10063344 thru 10063445 audited and approved by the Audit Committee, provided there are sufficient funds on hand. **The City Council approved the warrants.**

**5c. Acceptance of the Hotel del Coronado's Public Improvements Within the Right-of-Way of R H Dana Place and Orange Avenue.** The Hotel Del partnered with the City to construct street improvements (for which the City was responsible) while the Del was undertaking similar public improvements in the vicinity. The City was able to get the much-needed pavement repairs expedited while saving the costs of bidding a public works project and construction mobilization fees. The Hotel Del was able to construct all of their required improvements, and perform the pavement work at a fair and reasonable fee, with the result of a fully completed product. A final inspection on the work was held on January 16, 2008, the punch list of outstanding items was corrected, and the as-built construction drawings were delivered to the City on February 6, 2008. City staff is now recommending that the City accept these improvements and begin maintenance per a negotiated maintenance agreement with the Hotel Del. **The City Council accepted the improvements constructed by the Hotel del Coronado in the public right-of-way and authorized the payment of \$100,000 in accordance with the terms of the agreement dated November 25, 2007 for additional roadway work.**

**5d. Filing of the Quarterly Treasurer's Report on Investments with the City of Coronado City Council for the Quarter Ending December 31, 2007.** This report is for the three-month period October 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. During this quarter the City's investment portfolio has earned \$1,683,580 in investment earnings compared to an annual budget of \$2,047,750. The year-to-date portfolio earnings have exceeded budget by 64%, which reflects the longer duration of the portfolio and the interest rates of the securities held by the City. Also included in the report is a quarterly Investment Performance Review prepared by the City's investment manager, PFM Asset Management. The effects of recent market trends on the City's portfolio are being closely monitored, but are not expected to have significant impact on the City's investment earnings in the short-term. **The City Council examined the Quarterly Report on Investments and ordered it filed.**

**5e. Approve the Planned Use of Proposition 1B Funds (to be Received from the State) and Appropriate the Funds for the Sixth and Orange Drainage Improvements Project.** This project, included in the FY 2007-08 Capital Improvement Program, is to install storm drain lines and catch basins to reduce the number of times the area floods and to eliminate traffic problems related to the flooding on Tenth Street between C and Orange Avenues; along C Avenue between Eighth and Tenth Streets; and along Sixth Street between C and Orange Avenues. The Proposition 1B funds were not included in the original FY 2007-08 budget as it was unclear how much money would be forthcoming and when it would actually be available. This action by the Council will allow staff to submit a plan for use of the funds in accordance with recent guidance from the State. Given the State financial crisis, there remains the possibility that receipt of funds may be delayed. **The City Council approved the planned use of Proposition 1B funds for the Sixth and Orange Drainage Improvement project and approved an appropriation of the anticipated Proposition 1B funds for the project.**

**5f. Review of the Fiscal Year 2007-08 Budget at Mid-Year and Approval of Recommended Adjustments.** The purpose of this review is to ascertain whether revenues and expenditures are on target and to address unforeseen changes in operating costs. The FY 2007-08 operating budget and capital improvement program was adopted on June 19, 2007. Subsequently, the City Council approved several off-cycle adjustments, including approving the purchase of a Quint Tiller truck, additional funding for the Cays Fire Station improvements, two speed message/radar signs, the special February election, a position reclassification in Public Services, and the upgrades to the Police Department Mobile messaging system. With the exception of the Cays Fire Station improvements, these previously approved items were funded from the remaining General Fund contingency. **The City Council approved the recommended mid-year increases to revenue and expenditures in the General Fund budget and approved the recommended mid-year increases to the following funds: Vehicle & Equipment Replacement, Fund 135; Gasoline Tax Streets and Roads, Fund 205; Citizens' Gifts to Library, Fund 250; Community Development Block Grant, Fund 260; Wastewater Enterprise, Fund 510; Golf Course Enterprise, Fund 520; Pauline Friedman Trust, Fund 726.**

**6. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:**

- a. **Steve Bruce, 868 G Avenue,** stated that the advent of a yearly World Summit for Children will positively transform every problem on earth, including turning back

the clock on nuclear weapons. He urged people to talk about this and referred them to the website: TheCount.org.

- b. **Caroline Murray, 770 Coronado Avenue, Coronado's representative to the Port of San Diego's Public Art Committee**, reported on the Port signature art project to artistically light the bridge. The Port unanimously approved plans and authorized expenditures to pursue the project. The Committee is composed of members of the Public Art group, Port staff, and Caltrans representatives with consultants from the California Center for Sustainable Energy and the San Diego Renewable Energy Society. One of the major requirements for the project is that it must utilize green technology and be environmentally friendly. The Committee's first step will be to seek artists' proposals for the lighting plan and anticipate a selection by the end of the year. They have received wide spread support from Port cities and groups who are all excited about this beautiful addition to the region. She will provide progress reports from time to time.
- c. **Courtenay McGowan, 1140 Alameda Boulevard, Art Walk Chair and Arts Ball Chair**, announced that this year's Coronado's Arts Ball is going to be held on March 1, when their annual Jewel in the Crown Award will be presented to Christian Esquevin, the Director of the Coronado Library. She encouraged the community and City Council members to attend. The funds raised from the Ball will go to support the Art Walk, to be held on September 21, and to Coronado Historical Association. For additional information she referred people to the website, [www.coronadoartsball.com](http://www.coronadoartsball.com).

7. **CITY MANAGER:**

- 7a. **Update on Council Directed Actions and Citizen Inquiries.** No report.

8. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

8a. **Public Hearing: Request for Approval of a One-Lot Tentative Parcel Map to Allow for Condominium Ownership of Two Residential Units at 901 Tenth Street in the R-3 (Multiple Family Residential) Zone (PC 21-07 Clancy, Cara).** Mayor Smisek explained that the applicant had requested that the public hearing be continued until March 18<sup>th</sup>.

The Mayor Smisek advised that the applicant had requested a continuance to the March 18, 2008 City Council meeting. He opened the public hearing and as no members of the public wished to speak at this time he continued the public hearing to March 18.

8b. **Public Hearing: Request for Approval of a Special Use Permit and Parking Plan to Continue the On-Going Operation of a Church Including the Demolition of Two Existing Buildings and the Construction of a New Two-Story Building and to Waive the Application Processing Fees. The Project Address is 700 D Avenue in the R-3 (Multiple Family Residential) Zone (PC 22-07 St. Paul's United Methodist Church).** Tony Pena, Director of Community Development, provided the staff report. He explained that the Church is proposing a fairly small project on this site. The duplex would be demolished as well as the

Wesley House that is serving as a youth center and to replace the buildings with a new two story building. The actual land use and activities of the church are a little more complicated, which will be explained by the applicant. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the SUP on January 22, 2008. The Design Review Commission approved the exterior design on November 14, 2007. Staff feels that the project is in keeping with the area, however, based on the City's current codes the use does require an SUP and a parking plan.

Dave Gillingham, 649 Pomona Avenue, applicant, representing St. Paul's Church with his wife Sue, explained that this has been a lengthy process. They started several years prior to the City process with an assessment of the Church's needs and development of a strategic plan, etc. They defined their requirements first and then defined the building to fit those requirements. Among other uses, the building will potentially serve as a refuge for a Katrina-type situation. The parking lot that fronts on E Street will be expanded to provide approximately six more parking spaces. He believes the proposed design integrates well with the existing design. He mentioned that they are using the services of the same architect who is being used for the Lodge project.

Councilmember Tanaka asked about the installation of the grease trap, the undergrounding of the utilities, and about the power poles currently in place in the area.

Mr. Gillingham responded by saying that they had to cave in on the grease trap. They will deal with the underground utility issues as they evolve. There isn't enough power coming to the site with the existing transformer. The worst case scenario would be if SDG&E requires a new transformer. It would probably have to go into the parking lot with the resultant loss of part of a parking space. He said that the pole also serves people across the alley. One can never be too sure what SDG&E is going to require. He expects that the pole will stay, regardless.

Councilmember Ovrom asked about something in the plans called 'YMCA'.

Mr. Gillingham responded by saying that area is being called the youth den. Over the years they have received many complaints about the exuberance of some of their youth groups, so the youth area will be in the basement level.

Councilmember Downey pointed out that St. Paul's has been very generous to many community groups and does not charge for using the facilities and wondered if, once the new facility is in place, that will still be available.

Mr. Gillingham explained that is part of what they see as their outreach and ministry. There are a number of organizations who use the facilities now; there were more when the Library was being renovated. To the extent that they can, providing facilities for non profit community groups is their goal.

Councilmember Monroe disclosed that he is a member of St. Paul's Church and is proud to support this plan. He served on the committee that investigated the needs of the church. He mentioned that Coronado is interesting with respect to churches as there is no church zone. It is wonderful that the City Councils in the past have supported the churches to be viable and relevant to the community.

Sue Gillingham, co-chair of the Building Committee, provided an overview of the project with the use of slides.

Mr. Tanaka asked about the size of the preschool and about what will be upstairs.

Ms. Gillingham responded that the nursery school has about 400 sq. ft. with a reception area big enough for signing in and holding strollers. There will be a nursery to accommodate four cribs and there will also be more bathrooms. Upstairs there are classrooms. The social hall, kitchen, library and storage are on the first floor. She added that they hope to attract more young members with families, as there are currently a lot of elderly members. They could run three services instead of two to accommodate increased attendance.

Mr. Monroe pointed out that the nursery will now be on the ground floor instead of upstairs.

Mayor Smisek opened the public hearing and seeing no one wishing to speak on the item the public hearing was closed.

**MSUC** (Monroe/Downey) moved that the City Council adopt A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CORONADO APPROVING A REQUEST FOR A SPECIAL USE PERMIT PARKING PLAN TO CONTINUE THE ON-GOING OPERATION OF A CHURCH INCLUDING THE DEMOLITION OF TWO EXISTING BUILDINGS AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW TWO-STORY BUILDING AT 700 D AVENUE IN THE R-3 (MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) ZONE. The Resolution was read by Title, the reading in its entirety unanimously waived and adopted by Council as RESOLUTION NO. 8272. The City Council waived the application fees except for any direct costs to the City such as public notice fees.

**AYES:** Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek  
**NAYS:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

**8c. Public Hearing: Introduction of an Ordinance: “An Ordinance of the City of Coronado, California, Amending Sections 86.04.155, 86.04.197, 86.04.395, 86.08.030, 86.08.090, 86.09.120, 86.10.030, 86.10.085, 86.14.030, 86.56.100 and Section 86.56.110 of Title 86 of the Coronado Municipal Code Regarding Zoning Development Standards.” The Amendment Includes but is not Limited to the Definition of “Carriage House,” “Kitchen or Kitchenette,” and “Building Height”; Height Regulations for Carriage House Buildings; Use Restrictions for Accessory and Carriage House Buildings; Development Standards for Carriage House Buildings; Roof Decks and Balconies above 14 Feet in Height; and the Height of Single Family, Duplex, and Accessory Buildings within the R-3 Zone (PC 1-08 City of Coronado).** Tony Pena, Director of Community Development, provided the staff report. He explained that this is a minor amendment to the package that was approved under the Residential Standards Improvement Project (RSIP) in 2005. The City Council authorized a committee of the former RSIP members to evaluate the standards again for carriage houses. It

was determined that the carriage house ordinance was beneficial overall, but there were improvements that could be made very easily with these amendments. Public comments were received during the review.

Peter Fait, Associate Planner, showed slides to illustrate the proposed amendments to the zoning development code. He went on to review some of the recommendations that came from the subcommittee. One recommendation is to limit width to 22' at the second story level. Another change is to reduce the second story to a maximum of 400 sq. ft. where the current language allows 500 sq. ft. Another change is to limit the first story to 600 sq. ft. Right now there is no size limit for the first story of a carriage house. Along with the 600 sq. ft. limitation, they are required to provide parking space for two cars within that first story garage. Another proposed change is that the staircase needs to be entirely outside and to be an open staircase. The language is also being clarified so that the access or first step is within a certain area. Another change is to tighten up the rental provisions so that no building or any portion of either building could be rented apart from the entire property. If there is to be any lease it is to be for the entire property and all buildings on the property.

Councilmember Monroe added that the other change being made is regarding the definition of a kitchen with the idea of limiting the attractiveness to use the carriage house as a separate dwelling.

Mr. Fait explained that previously one could not have a kitchen, but the definition of a kitchen was not well defined. This defines a kitchen by the presence of an oven, range, stove top, or microwave, dishwasher, a sink greater than 2 ½ sq. ft. or any refrigerator greater than 6 cu. ft.

Mayor Smisek reminded everyone that this is a discussion regarding the R-1A zone primarily.

Jim Strickland, 1048 Olive Avenue, Chair, RSIP Committee, explained the difference between the size of the lower level when there is a carriage house involved. They wanted to try to inhibit the use of a carriage house as an illegal rental on the alley. Alley houses are no longer allowed to be built from scratch. This was a difficult decision.

Mr. Monroe also asked that Mr. Strickland talk about carriage house façades facing on the alley.

Mr. Strickland pointed out that the facade of several of the carriage houses facing the alley were very stark, with flat finishes. Those with a break in the form of either a setback or a set forward between the two stories were more attractive.

Councilmember Downey asked if it would matter if the 600 sq. ft. space was increased to 650 sq. ft. so that there is some garage space for tools, bikes, etc., and to encourage people to keep their cars in the garage.

Mayor Smisek pointed out that the 600 sq. ft. gives room for three cars, not two. Two is how many each house should have and still have enough room left over for other things.

Ms. Downey would still like people to be able to park all of their cars in their garages.

Mr. Tanaka said he has no issue with an extra 50 sq. ft. It might be smart to give someone that flexibility.

Mr. Monroe added that they were concerned that, with so much space, people would be able to convert it into a two-story carriage house with internal stairs.

Ms. Downey asked if it will be possible for someone to put a carport next to the 600 sq. ft. garage.

Mr. Strickland explained that there is no restriction if there is enough room on the lot. Mr. Fait added that they would still have to comply with the setbacks.

Mr. Tanaka pointed out that the goal is to be as restrictive as possible, and mentioned the definition of a kitchen.

Mr. Pena added that this definition makes the code more enforceable in contrast to the enforceability of the current code. Over the years staff has made interpretations on certain things like wet bars, or that a kitchenette may be okay but a full range oven and a full refrigerator and sink would not be allowed.

Mr. Tanaka asked about the addition of language regarding roof decks or balconies.

Mr. Pena explained that, with the RSIP, the City restricted the location and size of roof decks and balconies on main dwellings for the preservation of privacy. That will now be expanded to carriage houses.

Ms. Downey questioned the safety of the rule regarding stair material.

Mr. Fait stated that the material requirement is for the decks that Mr. Pena referred to. There are some people who have flat roofs where mechanical equipment can be located. Some people were using those areas as walking decks. The problem is that they are in the new roof deck setback area. This change will discourage pedestrians from going out on that area.

Ms. Downey said that she understands the intention, but is concerned that people will walk out there anyway, and get hurt.

Mr. Pena added that roofs can still be accessible and safe for servicing equipment, etc. but the materials used can be more or less conducive to roof top activities.

Mr. Tanaka pointed out that the reason the City added the carriage house incentive was because, previously people had to build a very large, narrow section to connect the main house to the garage. He wondered if they go back to going that, would they get out of all of these restrictions.

Mr. Pena explained that if the garage is connected to the main dwelling, the restrictions go away. He went on to talk about FAR. There is a certain amount of floor area allowed on a lot. It is where one places the floor area that there is flexibility over. If floor area is used for a carriage house or combination garage, more of the floor area and bulk is on the alley side. The structure on the front portion of the lot will be smaller. One can still, with accessory living quarters, have an expanded main dwelling covering more of the rear yard as long as the setbacks and floor area are complied with.

Mayor Smisek added that there is no incentive to do that because the garage is counted in the FAR. Mr. Fait added that one couldn't get anything similar to a carriage house because other parts of RSIP that were changed made it so that garages are limited to one story only except for carriage houses.

Mayor Smisek opened the public hearing.

Jan Clarke, 344 A Avenue, said she is familiar with the carriage house issue. The purpose of RSIP was to enhance the quality of life for Coronado residents by striving to achieve livable, friendly, and inviting community bonded by a sense of civility, stewardship, and consideration of neighbors. The recent building of carriage houses has done little toward achieving any of these goals. She reminded the City Council that every homeowner who wants to build a carriage house signs a covenant with the City where they acknowledge that they are restricted in the use as to kitchens, stairs, fences, rentals, etc. While this new ordinance reduces the size and improves the appearance of carriage houses, and making them less attractive for illegal rentals, any property in Coronado can be rented out. She suggested reducing the size of the carriage houses even further, to 300 sq. ft., enough space for a small room and bath, making them less desirable to rent out. She believes a carriage house is supposed to provide an extra room, not rooms, for owners of the main house. She also believes that proactive enforcement would help ensure that Coronado remains a nice place for everyone to live.

Mayor Smisek closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Tanaka said he struggles with this issue. This is about the single family rather than the multi family zones. He doesn't care for the strict definition of a kitchen. Whatever this space is supposed to be and whoever is supposed to use it, it makes sense to make that particular space comfortable. Whether it is an elderly parent, a kid, a teenager – it is unreasonable to make that space uncomfortable. This is still being called a living space. He doesn't like being overly restrictive on what can be put in there. He thinks it is counterintuitive to ban everything except a bed, TV, and a couch. When it is used appropriately, whether it is a family member or an out of town guest, he would want them to be comfortable. He agrees with lowering the square footage. He also agrees that some people may have been abusing the rules. For the most part, he agrees with what the subcommittee has put together. His goal is to make that space functional. When he voted for the carriage houses, the key word was incentive. It isn't much of an incentive if the space can't be used comfortably.

Councilmember Downey said she also struggled with this a great deal. She understands eliminating ranges and could support the list without microwaves. She doesn't know why anyone building from scratch would want to go this way. She thought that the reason this was done was to provide more open sun for everyone. She hopes this won't end up defeating the initial goal.

Mr. Strickland addressed the situation where someone scrapes their lot and starts from scratch. Twenty-five foot lots are being scraped and a main house is being built with a garage on the alley and a carriage house above. He doesn't know how they are going to be marketed. The two houses in question had basements as well as habitable space in the attic. The basements don't count in FAR. The subcommittee had the same discussion about microwaves and decided to

take the tougher position to keep it completely away from cooking. He understands that a small microwave in the space is not an issue. When the committee envisioned the effect of this code, they were looking at remodels where a carriage house could be built. That is not the case.

Councilmember Ovrom thinks Mr. Strickland has a good point about the division of the FAR. Why would one take square footage away from the main dwelling and put it in the carriage house? Is the incentive to get around the rules to make it rentable? He thinks it is more likely that the City will end up with separate rental units if it is not very careful. He has no problem with the tougher rules to see if it helps with enforcement.

Mayor Smisek stated that he lives on an R1-B lot with a unit in the back where his mother lives. He can understand that some people want to have their own space to go to. He believes the purpose of the amendment is correct. He agrees that there isn't much of an incentive unless one has a particular need. This was an experiment and the City found people would take advantage of it. Now it needs to be tightened up.

Councilmember Monroe thanked Mr. Strickland, Ms. St. Denis, and Ms. Howard, along with Mr. Pena and Mr. Fait, for their work. He said that the committee affirmed that there should be a provision for an extra guest room or an office, when it is done right. The rest of the discussion was how to tighten this up to help code enforcement.

Mayor Smisek thinks that 450 sq. ft. is large and that 400 sq. ft. is big enough. Mr. Strickland talked more about the size. Mr. Tanaka asked if they see this space as an office or spare room, more than a teenager or aging parent space. Mr. Strickland explained that one carriage house he saw had several rooms when it was in the framing stage. There were rooms of 100 sq. ft. That could be two bedrooms. Mr. Tanaka asked if 350 sq. ft. or 400 sq. ft. would be more appropriate. He wants to go with something that is more restrictive. Mr. Fait explained that this was not only about accommodating the basic rooms but it also needs to work with the space below it. For architectural reasons the top shouldn't be too small in relationship to the bottom. Mr. Strickland believes that 400 sq. ft. is more aesthetic.

Doug St. Denis, 1504 Park View Place, said that she disagrees a little bit about the attractiveness of the new construction.

**MSUC (Monroe/Downey) moved that the City Council introduced AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CORONADO, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTIONS 86.04.155, 86.04.197, 86.04.395, 86.08.030, 86.08.090, 86.09.120, 86.10.030, 86.10.085, 86.14.030, 86.56.100 AND SECTION 86.56.110 OF TITLE 86 OF THE CORONADO MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING ZONING DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS. The Ordinance was read by Title, the reading in its entirety unanimously waived and placed by the City Council on FIRST READING.**

**AYES: Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek  
NAYS: None  
ABSENT: None**

9. **ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS:** None.

10. **COMMISSION AND COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

10a. **Report from the Port Commissioner Concerning Port Activities.** No report.

10b. **Approval of the Parks and Recreation Commission Recommendation to Install Four-Foot Windscreens on the Southeast Side of Courts 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 at the Coronado Tennis Center.** Windscreens, or backdrops, are used on tennis courts to reduce glare and wind as well as to reduce viewing of activities outside the courts which may cause distraction to the players. Most tennis courts in Southern California have windscreens. All of Coronado's City tennis courts have windscreens on the outer fences with the exception of courts 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 at the Coronado Tennis Center which have four-foot windscreens on the northwest side only. In the past, the City did not approve the requests for windscreens because it was felt they would interfere with neighboring residents' views.

Six people attended a public hearing held on November 19, 2007. Two people spoke in favor of the windscreens. One person questioned whether hedges could be used instead of windscreens and another was opposed to the windscreens, but agreeable to the hedge. Staff reported that the hedge is not a good replacement for the windscreen due to the maintenance required to keep the hedge as well as the extra cleaning required to keep the courts clean of leaves. In addition to the people who attended the meeting, one letter and one phone call were received in opposition to the windscreens. Neighbors who voiced an opinion against the installation of the four-foot windscreens were not objecting to the four-foot windscreens, but rather the possibility that this was a stepping stone to full height windscreens. The Commission is not recommending the installation of full windscreens on these courts.

The addition of the short screens at the southeast ends of these courts will provide consistency of court conditions, thus improving the quality of the tennis experience without materially interrupting the views to the bay. **Under Consent, the City Council approved the installation of four-foot high windscreens on the southeast side of courts 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 at the Coronado Tennis Center as recommended by the Parks and Recreation Commission.**

10c. **Review and Consideration of Proposed Revisions to the Policies and Fees for Coronado Recreation Facilities and Programs as Recommended by the Parks and Recreation Commission.** Linda Rahn, Director of Recreation Services, provided the staff report. The proposals before the City Council are not intended to substantially increase revenues. The real purpose is to improve the efficiency of the facility rental process, provide some refinement and clarification to the policies, make it easier for staff to interpret/manage the policies, and to create reasonable assessment of fees for groups using the City swimming pool. Now that the Community Center has been open and in use for two years under the previous policies, there are some recommendations for adjustments to those policies. She said that the resolution brought to the City Council for adoption at a future meeting will reflect the outcome of this discussion.

Ms. Rahn went on to explain that the revisions are broken up into four basic areas – policy review, fee revisions for the banquet facility at the Community Center, fee revisions for the aquatic facilities, and revisions regarding the Senior Center. The Parks and Recreation Commission has spent a good deal of time reviewing this information and the recommendations made by staff. The policy revision recommendations include: increasing the reservation window for the Nautilus Room from 18 months to two years for Coronado residents and 12 months to 18 months for non residents; requiring Saturday rentals of the Nautilus Room be for the full room rather than half the room; prohibiting the serving of alcohol at youth honoree events such as graduations, birthdays, bar mitzvahs, etc., where underage drinking and subsequent damage to the facility or extra work for the security guards is occurring; modifications in the classification of users, such as Class D and E, to specify whether a group is predominantly Coronado participants, if the group specifically benefits Coronado so those groups may be allowed to pay the resident rates. Ms. Rahn commented that the public is very clever about trying to qualify for the resident rates and staff goes through a lot of hoops to make sure that it is the residents who are getting the resident rates. Staff is also recommending a \$25 reservation fee to compensate for the work done to get an interested party's reservation on the books, a stricter cancellation fee - retention of the typically \$200 security deposit after an event has been booked and firmed, and change the resident fee listing for the Nautilus Room from \$150 to a 50% discount primarily so that a standard rate can be published. The amount is not as important as being able to publish the rate and give a discount. If keeping the same rate is desired, a 62% discount can be indicated.

Regarding the aquatic facilities, the existing language indicates that, when the School District has like facilities, the School District is charged for use at City facilities. The School District now has a swimming pool so the recommendation is that, when the District uses the Municipal Pool, that those fees be charged and to add a fee for the water polo field. The City might also consider a recommendation to reduce the number of hours that are permitted, free of charge, to youth swim teams from 25 hours to 10 hours a week. Currently the youth swim teams pay no fees to use the swimming pools and they are allowed 25 hours per week. Research of other San Diego agencies indicates that when a pool is given at a subsidized rate for teams, most of the teams are using the pool 10 hours per week. Most of Coronado's groups are using the pool between 10 and 16 hours a week. Adding a 'per lane' rate for groups could promote more efficient lane use. Some groups want 15 lanes while others may only need two or three lanes. There are no recommended changes for the Senior Center. It is only included to be covered by the same resolution as the other recreation facilities.

Mayor Smisek asked about the rates for the Nautilus Room and made a suggestion on how to list the rates if the rest of the City Council agrees. He proposed posting a \$400 rate with a 'discount for residents' disclaimer. There is no need to state the discounted rate. Ms. Rahn agreed with his recommendation. Mayor Smisek added that the City might want to wait and see what happens with the school district pool. He asked for clarification on 'youth swim teams'.

Ms. Rahn explained that the groups using the City pool right now are the swim club Coronado Navy Swim Association (CNSA), the club swim and water polo Coronado Aquatics Club (CAC) and the Masters adult organization, which is open to anyone. The resident youth groups are CNSA and the CAC. Both of those groups use the pool 12 to 16 hours a week. In order to have the free use of the pool with the current resolution they must be youth groups, so the bulk of their participants are under 18 years of age and must be 75% Coronado residents.

Mayor Smisek asked if staff is anticipating that these groups will be going over to the school district pool.

Ms. Rahn explained that the CAC is holding its afternoon practices at the new high school pool. CNSA has made no indication whether they would move or want to. The Masters has continued to use the City pool. She does not know what their intention is.

Councilmember Ovrom said there has been some discussion that the school district rates are significantly higher than the City's. It is difficult to make the High School pool viable to these groups under these conditions when they view the city pool as a lesser cost alternative. He has a problem with that and recommends that the City Council sit down with the School Board to work out something that is equitable. At the same time the City should not just give its facility away. If the High School pool isn't in use, the teams shouldn't be coming down to use the City pool for their tournaments, etc.

Mayor Smisek agreed with Mr. Ovrom. He also thinks the City needs to do a little more work and see what is going to happen at the High School. He doesn't want the City rates to be based on what the High School rates are going to be. In a spirit of cooperation to make everything work, there will need to be some kind of an agreement rather than do this independently.

Councilmember Downey agreed with Mayor Smisek, but wants to take into consideration Mr. Ovrom's comments. The City has subsidized swimming in the City pool to a very high percentage when it doesn't subsidize golf or other activities. She wants this pool to be for the citizens to use and has found in her own experience over the years that it isn't always available for families. Ms. Downey asked if there really are problems with serving alcohol at birthday parties. Ms. Rahn said there really are.

Mr. Ovrom asked if this is for the majority of the events for youths or for adults. Ms. Rahn responded that it is for youth events where there is a much higher percentage of youth in attendance. Mr. Ovrom would not agree to do this for adult events. Ms. Rahn expanded that where this happens is primarily family events such as graduation events, birthday parties for teens, bar mitzvahs, quincineiras, etc. The wording could be changed to disallow alcohol where the majority of attendees are children.

Councilmember Tanaka thinks that any time the City is enabling underage drinking the rules should be tightened. This policy gives staff a better chance to preempt it before it ever happens. He addressed the issue of swimming teams, lap swimmers, and user fees. There was initially a problem with lap swimmers being able to swim the length of the pool. He asked if that is resolved now. Ms. Rahn explained that there should be additional time for 50 meter swimming with less youth team use. Mr. Tanaka said that the City is in a unique position where it doesn't want to undercut the school pool pricing to the point where the facility will go unused. He thinks it would be a good idea for the two groups to sit down and try to figure out a consensus price. He would hate to see the City quadruple its prices to create an even field. The City has a certain obligation to its residents to keep the rates reasonably low. He doesn't want to look at this like a subsidy. He would like to know what the true cost of operating the City pool is and what a responsible amount is to charge for it.

Ms. Downey understands that the City is not recovering the cost of operating the pool.

Mr. Tanaka responded that even so it would be helpful, at some time in the future, to know what the true cost is.

Mayor Smisek thinks that the City Council has to look at the recreation department as a whole. There are many areas in the City that are not run as a business - to come out either even or in the black. Most of what the City provides, as a government service, costs money, like mowing lawns in parks, etc. The City needs to make sure that the recreation budget is in line with the rest of the City's budget and that the City can afford to do what it is doing. He is in full agreement with what Mr. Tanaka said in that he has always pushed very hard to keep taxes zero and fees as low as possible for the City to continue to survive and he would hate to change that. He also understands the reality of the other swimming pool and that the two groups need to work cooperatively to find some happy medium. The idea would be that those who said they were going to support that pool would actually do that and sign contracts to do so, that then it isn't a City problem. He thinks this is a period of flux.

Mr. Ovrom interjected that the next School Board meeting is supposed to tell how this will or will not work.

Mayor Smisek thinks that, because of that, it is premature to make any value judgment about the aquatics rates.

City Manager Mark Ochenduszkowski noted that the third recommendation is to direct staff to evaluate aquatics fees and policies relevant to the new School District pool, related specifically to the new School District pool. Staff is seeking the opportunity to meet with them to see if there are ways to cooperate. Staff is not proposing and will not propose a general increase across the board for fees for the municipal pool. That was not envisioned as a part of this recommendation from staff.

Councilmember Monroe thinks that this opens up one thing. He realized that he doesn't know much about the performance of the Community Center as a whole. He wondered if there might be a chance for the City Council to be briefed on the scheduling of the Nautilus Room, what is going on in the gym, how many leagues are playing there, and perhaps a breakdown of the dollars that are being subsidized. He would like to know more and thinks it would be helpful to have a brief once a year or so. He was surprised about the youth drinking issue. His concept of a bar mitzvah was a majority of adults with one or two youth being celebrated.

Ms. Rahn explained that the general practice staff has seen at the Community Center is that the honoree invites all of their friends which could number between 20 and 50 and the only adults are the family members.

Mr. Monroe asked about the make up of the youth swim clubs. Ms. Rahn explained that there are two distinct swim clubs in Coronado. One is the CNSA which has been in existence of many, many years - probably since the '50s - and the other is the Coronado Aquatic Club which Randy Burgess is affiliated with. CAC is a swim team that participates in competition swimming as well as a water polo. They feed into the High School team to make it a full year

opportunity. CNSA is like any youth little league, soccer, lacrosse, etc. There are club teams as well as recreational teams. This is a club team with competition in USA Swimming. CNSA is a separate entity from the High School or the School District. The proposal is that the City reduce the number of hours that it permits these groups can use the pool at no charge. There is already a fee on the books for swim teams and what they would be assessed, which is \$18/hour if it is shared use of the pool. Staff is recommending that the number of free hours be reduced from 25 to 10. The teams that are using the pool do so for 15 to 16 hours a week, so they would only be paying for 5 or 6 hours of the time they are using now. In addition, rather than only having the \$18/hour option, they could choose the per lane fee to use the pool on a shared basis with the public or another team. This would also allow staff to allocate the lanes in a more equitable manner according to how many people they have swimming on their club team. Currently, CNSA has a waiting list of between 50 and 100 youth in Coronado that want to swim but there is not space to give them. That may mean that they go to the High School and rent additional lanes there. Even with these adjustments the pool will be full. The policy is also to make sure that Coronado resident teams and groups get first access to the pool. There have not been non-resident groups using the pool because all hours from 5 am to 11 pm have been occupied with resident teams, activities, or the School District and open swim.

Mr. Monroe summed up his position by saying that he likes the Mayor's statement that, in the spirit of cooperation, communication with the High School needs to be kept open. He doesn't like the idea of having to raise fees to be equal with them, but on the other hand, their pool is a reality and he doesn't think Coronado wants that pool to sink now that it is here. Ultimately, we are all Coronado. He will be watching the School District meeting on Thursday night.

Mr. Tanaka does not want to raise the fees, but what he would like to see first is to be sure that the school situation is stable.

Nils Sandberg, 1770 Avenida del Mundo, Parks and Recreation Commission member, clarified a few points for the City Council. As Councilmember Downey indicated, the City is trying to open up the pool to the Coronado residents now that there is an alternative for certain users of that facility. The question becomes why users would want to pay a fee at the new facility if they are not technically a member of the school 'team'. This is not really about an increase in fees for those people who are clubs, but rather this is an attempt to restrict the free use of the facility because they have an alternative choice. This isn't a fee increase that is being sought, but rather a time increase for Coronado residents. There may be more study needed but he wanted to be sure that everyone understands that staff is not coming forward and asking for an increase in fees to use the facility. The Park and Recreation Commission gave their unanimous support for these proposals.

Mr. Monroe referred to the 75% requirement for members of a group to qualify for the resident rate. He feels that may be a little high and could support the idea of 51% or a majority.

Ms. Rahn explained that 75% is what they have used in the past. Only about five or six groups have had to be evaluated. This is something designed to make it clearer for staff. She reiterated that the groups will go to great extents to convince staff that they are a Coronado group. Ms. Rahn is open to a discussion but just wants language that will help staff.

Mr. Ovrom and Mayor Smisek said that they could not support Mr. Monroe's recommendation. The City wants to make it difficult for non residents to receive resident rates.

Mr. Tanaka believed that the main tenet was that when the High School pool opened the teams would move over. If the City wants to make the pool open for residents there needs to be open swim times that are convenient. He honestly doesn't know how often these open swim hours happen and he doesn't know to what extent the current users are keeping the public out of the pool.

Ms. Rahn explained that, on Tuesday and Thursday there is open recreational swim from 10 am to 2 pm and then again from 6 pm to 8 pm. In summer time there is a big open swim period from 1 pm to 4pm, Monday through Sunday and Tuesday and Thursday from 6 pm to 8 pm. With the School District in the pool, the afternoon times, 2 pm to 6 pm, have been taken up. That is the time that families would like to come and swim because that is when school age children are out of school. Typically, fall and spring is when that demand is great. It is staff's desire that, with the School District gone as a major user, more recreational family swim times will be opened up between 2 pm and 6 pm.

Mr. Tanaka said he would prefer to deliberately reserve certain blocks that the public would benefit the most from and make consistent hours as much of the week as possible and tell the other groups to work around those hours.

Mayor Smisek explained that when the team was put together to develop the pool size and the make up of how the pool was going to be, the recommendation was to look at the School's requirements and to give them number one priority in scheduling. If the City sits and waits a bit to see how this other pool does, there will likely be a nice, big opening that is going to be very rewarding to the residents.

Mayor Smisek asked if the policy revisions as stated in the staff report were agreeable.

Mr. Ovrom objected to the revision regarding prohibition of alcohol at youth honoree events.

Mayor Smisek added that his preference regarding how fees are listed is to not list the actual resident fee but to state the discount percent for residents. However, the aquatics fee revision should be reviewed further in cooperation with the School District. He agreed that the Senior Center fees should be included in the new resolution when it is adopted.

Mr. Monroe clarified that the intent of the amendment to the Nautilus Room fees, is that they will remain the same as they are now for residents, they will only be listed differently.

**MSC (Monroe/Tanaka) moved that the City Council accept the policy revisions as stated.**

**AYES: Downey, Monroe, Tanaka and Smisek**

**NAYS: Ovrom**

**ABSENT: None**

Councilmember Ovrom stated that his no vote was regarding the matter he previously mentioned.

**MSUC (Monroe/Downey) moved that the City Council accept the fee revisions for banquet facilities with a change to reflect that residents have a discount on the published rate so that the resident rate will remain the same.**

**AYES: Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek**  
**NAYS: None**  
**ABSENT: None**

**MSUC (Downey/Tanaka) moved that the City Council send the fee revisions for aquatics facilities back for further review and discussions including the Coronado Unified School District.**

**AYES: Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek**  
**NAYS: None**  
**ABSENT: None**

**MSUC (Tanaka/Ovrom) moved that the City Council include the Coronado Senior Center fees in the new resolution stating the Policies and Fees for Coronado Recreation Facilities and Programs.**

**AYES: Downey, Monroe, Ovrom, Tanaka and Smisek**  
**NAYS: None**  
**ABSENT: None**

Ms. Downey clarified that when the City Council voted to hold off on doing the items related to the pool, she agrees with Mr. Monroe that the City might have had some agreement on some of the recommendations. However, they are all being lumped together to allow staff to come back with a report. It might be helpful to the School District for the City Council to have gone on record as saying that is the direction the City Council is giving staff – to work with them in such a way that the City will look at revising what it is doing in order to assist the pool.

Mayor Smisek thinks that the motion was for staff to engage CUSD in discussions of the whole use structure.

Ms. Downey agreed but thinks that was done without any goals. She is sensing that there are enough votes that the City Council is willing to look at its policies to further the High School pool becoming financially viable.

Mayor Smisek and Councilmember Downey continued discussion about the direction of the City Council on the High School pool issue. Mayor Smisek does not think it is possible for the City Council to send information to CUSD that it is going to help them to survive. That is not the direction or is it the topic. It is not an appropriate motion at this point.

Ms. Downey pointed out that, if the City Council had voted in support of the staff recommendation's regarding the number of hours and pool use fees, it would have been some financial benefit to the School District that they would at least have in their hands when they go to vote. She is trying to figure out if that is something the City Council should have voted on. She also doesn't want to vote and then have to redo it.

Mr. Tanaka thinks there are three people, at least, who want to reach out to the School District to figure out where their numbers are compared to the City's. He doesn't think there is a consensus to change the City's numbers yet but the City needs to start fact finding and discussion needs to take place between the two. He thinks that Ms. Downey may be suggesting that if the City Council does nothing and waits too long there may not be any figures to compare against.

Mayor Smisek feels that the current situation at CUSD is in such flux at this point that no one even knows who to talk to. They have to resolve that and the hope is that will be done very soon. Then the City Council will have staff engage in discussions exactly as Mr. Tanaka outlined and if there is a need for Council members to talk to Board members that can be done. He thinks it would be premature in engaging at that point. Staff understands what the City Council is trying to do so it would be best to let staff, under the direction of the City Manager, handle that rather than try to micromanage this little piece of it when there is not unanimity on the City Council to do that.

Mr. Ovrom feels that there is a question of policy that still bothers him that needs to be addressed at some point in time. That matter of policy is whether or not the City should give any time to the High School team when it can't be the 'team' when they have their own pool.

Mayor Smisek thinks that could all be resolved by contracts that they are supposed to be signing with the pool and then it won't be a problem for the City.

Mr. Monroe thinks modifying the pool use fees to include "per lane" rates and "water polo field" rates make sense and don't need any of that discussion to take place.

Mayor Smisek pointed out that is only if there is a problem with too much usage by others and it would be a rate increase. There is currently no fee up until a certain number of hours. It may be a moot point if there are not a lot of people who are interested. If all of the school things go over to the school pool, there may be a lot of nice open times for the residents. Mayor Smisek thinks that, rather than try to anticipate something here, the City Council should wait to see what is going to happen. Then the City can structure around what the actual occurring occurrences are instead of making something up.

Mr. Monroe asked that this be agendaized two meetings from now. Perhaps the school people could come to talk with the City.

Ms. Downey agreed.

Mayor Smisek asked the City Manager to agendaize this at the request of the City Council.

**11. CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS:**

**11a. Council Reports on Inter-Agency Committee and Board Assignments.**

**Councilmember Monroe** met with the Chairman of the Otay Mesa Chamber of Commerce. They have issues with land use zoning. He attended a SANDAG policy meeting that Mayor Smisek attended to listen to the airport's proposal for ten new gates and to Mr. Peace's proposal for a new terminal on the north side. The airport presentation was very weak. He announced that he is now on the MTS Executive Board which he attended. He attended meetings of the MTS Audit Committee, the FACT Board, and a SANDAG Committee regarding the bond based on the TransNet extension.

**Councilmember Tanaka** briefed the Chamber Board, and attended the Fire Dispatch JPA meeting where the commission instructed the chair and two others to interview the headhunting firms individually. He attended the Library Board meeting on Mayor Smisek's behalf and announced that they have received a \$226,000 bequest with no strings attached. There was a question as to how that sort of money is handled and asked Leslie Suelter what the rate of return is and whether or not that rate of return is returned into their fund.

Ms. Suelter said that the City receives a return on the total portfolio. Each fund earns its percent share of the returns. She added that there was a second bequest for \$50,000. Mr. Tanaka suggested that she attend one of their meetings to answer those questions.

**Councilmember Ovrom** attended the Metro meeting as the Mayor's representative, joined Mayor Smisek at the SANDAG meeting, attended a League of California Cities meeting and will not be going any longer because Ms. Downey is now the Chair of the Legislative subcommittee. He attended the State of the County address with Ms. Downey, an SDMAC meeting, and a meeting of the Tunnel Action Team with Mayor Smisek.

**Councilmember Downey** reported on the SANDAG Shoreline Preservation Working Group meeting where they voted to choose which allocation method to use for the latest sand replenishment program. Coronado doesn't get any sand but is a good supporter of the program because it is a regional issue and everyone should share the cost. She voted on behalf of Coronado and the decision was for the method that would be the least cost to Coronado which was also the fairest. The City will come out owing practically nothing because the allocation method is based on the sand each city gets. She attended the Regional Planning meeting Mr. Monroe reported on so that she could present the MOU that SANDAG has reached with Fish & Game, Fish & Wildlife and Caltrans on how to spend the Environmental Mitigation funds that the TransNet initiatives generated. It was a lot of effort and a great amount of work by the SANDAG staff and her committee. It will be coming to Mr. Monroe this Friday and then, once it is approved, they will be able to spend \$4 million of the money that TransNet set aside to help procure some habitat and do monitoring and regional management. Because of the fires there have been a lot of issues with habitat. This money will be put to use as soon as it is signed. She chaired the Environmental Mitigation Program meeting where they reviewed conflict of interest reporting. It is a big issue. One of the questions no one could answer is why everyone received the notices from the County. She attended the Energy Working Group subcommittee meeting where she will be taking over as chair in about two months. The recommendation that the

subcommittee had was that the SDG&E Sunrise Powerlink transmission line and the Lake Elsinore pump storage transmission line are both consistent with the Regional Energy Strategy. The Regional Energy Strategy had several components, the first of which is to get it in base and generation, which means plants in San Diego so that when there is a fire the lights can be kept on. Another was to have more transmission so that energy can be brought in from other sources if the major line is lost. The Working Group is suggesting, and it will first go to SANDAG Planning and then to SANDAG Board, that both of those will be consistent and they are not going to take any position on which one, what the route is, but just in general that the region would benefit from more transmission. She was requested to chair the League of California Cities Legislative subcommittee next year and she agreed to do it for one year, 2008. She alerted everyone that Andrew Potter from City staff has been very beneficial to her and will be right there with her. They decided that their focus will be not just on keeping this group and all the councils informed of what is going on in Sacramento, but also will look at legislation that will benefit the local region. She announced that the EMP was tasked by the SANDAG Board, at the request of the Mayor of Poway, to look at how fire prevention could be handled regionally to make it less likely that there will be as many structures lost in future fires. She requested and the SANDAG Board agreed to send it back to her committee because of its relationship with Fish & Wildlife and Fish & Game. She has spoken with Chief Raddatz and there will be an open workshop on March 11 from 1 to 3 pm at the SANDAG office board room. The purpose will be to receive testimony as to how that was an issue in the recent wildfires. She added that Senator Christine Kehoe is drafting legislation that would address this on a statewide basis. She is hopeful that they can work with her rather than start from scratch.

**Mayor Smisek** met with Pete Litrenta and SCI Capital Group representatives to discuss a potential project for North Grand Caribe Isle, attended a meeting of the CCHOA subcommittee called the Grand Caribe Task Force to discuss with them what they foresaw was going to happen and recommended that they meet with SCI Capital Group. He explained that SCI Capital Group picked up the lease the Brienzas had in the past. He attended the joint SANDAG and Airport Commission meeting. He feels that the good news from that meeting is there were some level headed approaches to what can be done. The two presentations were both polarized. The one was a description of failure. The other one was so expensive and so big that no one felt it could ever be done. The two are going to have to meet someplace in the middle and MCRD will have to be a major player. He attended a Tunnel Action Committee meeting where there was discussion about the latest Navy responses to the EIR/EIS and a look at their objections to the different alternatives the City has put forth and the City's study towards the transportation corridor.

Councilmember Monroe also addressed the \$600 bond issue that SANDAG is voting on this Friday. When they looked at that in the Transportation Committee it was interesting. They were briefed by the lawyer on their personal responsibilities as to what they should have read, what they should know, and what their individual accountability is. He asked whether or not he needed to take the document back to the Coronado City Attorney for review and/or for City Council review and wanted the answer to be on the record. The SANDAG Attorney responded that Mr. Monroe is voting as a SANDAG Board member, not a Coronado Council member.

**12. CITY ATTORNEY:** No report.

**13. COMMUNICATIONS - WRITTEN:** None.

The City Council recessed to closed session at 5:53 p.m.

**14. CLOSED SESSION:**

**a. CLOSED SESSION: CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL –  
EXISTING LITIGATION**

**AUTHORITY:** Government Code § 54956.9(a)  
**NAME OF CASE:** Workers' Compensation Appeals Board Case Number:  
TBD  
Eric Hima

**b. CLOSED SESSION: CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS**

**AUTHORITY:** Government Code Section 54957.6  
**CITY NEGOTIATORS:** Mark Ochendusko, City Manager; Leslie Suelter,  
Director of Administrative Services  
**EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATION:** Coronado Firefighters' Association (CFA)

**c. CLOSED SESSION: CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL –  
ANTICIPATED LITIGATION**

**AUTHORITY:** Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision (c) of  
Government Code Section 54956.9  
One (1) potential case

The City Council resumed the regular meeting at 7:17 PM.

City Attorney Morgan Foley reported regarding Items 14a and 14b, direction was given to the city's counsel. As regards Item 14c, no action was taken.

**15. ADJOURNMENT:** The meeting was adjourned at 7:19 p.m.

Approved: March 4, 2008

---

Tom Smisek, Mayor  
City of Coronado

Attest:

---

Linda K. Hascup  
City Clerk